UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,211	10/21/2003	James Spitler	03-001-US1 (IST 3591000)	2328
57004 CARR LLP (IS	7590 11/29/200°	7	EXAMINER	
670 FOUNDERS SQUARE			HOFFMAN, MARY C	
900 JACKSON STREET DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.122.13, 111			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summany	10/690,211	SPITLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mary Hoffman	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/14	<u>1/2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
. —						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 25,26,29 and 30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 25,29 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 26 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 25, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boehm, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Applicant No. 2004/0039384).

Boehm, Jr. et al. discloses a medical implant (FIG. 12) comprising a first bone anchor; a second bone anchor; and a brace comprising. The device comprises means for pivoting the brace from a first position to a second position (ref. #120, paragraph [0059]), wherein in the second position the brace couples the first bone anchor to the second bone anchor; means for slidingly adjusting the means for pivoting along at least a portion of the longitudinal length of the brace (spring-loaded, ref. #128, 130); and means for transmitting torque between the brace and at least one of the first and second bone anchors (ref. #68). The medical implant further comprises a receptacle (ref. #60); and means for polyaxially attaching the receptacle to the head of the second bone anchor (hole in the base of ref. #60); and wherein the receptacle comprises means for engaging with the brace (U-shaped channel). The medical implant further comprising

Art Unit: 3733

means for locking the brace and the anchors in a fixed relationship with each other (ref. #78).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 26 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/14/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Boehm, Jr. et al. fail to disclose means for transmitting torque between the brace and at least one of the first and second bone anchors. The examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument. The pin denoted by ref. #68 engages the screw anchor receptacle. A set screw denoted by ref. #78 is introduced in order to tighten the assembly, i.e. to lock the receptacle with the polyaxial head bone screw. Applying a force against the brace ref. #66 will cause a torque force on the receptacle via pin ref. #68, and consequently, the torque force will be transmitted through the brace and pin to the locked receptacle and bone anchor assembly.

Applicant also argues that the tool cannot be considered as part of the medical implant. The examiner respectfully disagrees. An implant is merely something implanted into a body. It is possible for the medical tool to be implanted into a body

Art Unit: 3733

along with the corresponding implant shown in FIG. 3, even if that is not the intended use. With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Boehm, Jr. et al., which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). It is further noted a restriction requirement does not bar the examiner from applying the broadest reasonable interpretation in a 35 U.S.C. 102(e) anticipation rejection.

The rejection is deemed proper.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number:

10/690,211 Art Unit: 3733

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-5566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPZECEORY FATURE EXCLUSIONED